# Development of L-H Transition Simulation Framework with BOUT++ code

H. Seto\* and M. Yagi

Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Aomori, Japan

in collaboration with

G. Breyiannis<sup>1</sup>, B.D. Dudson<sup>2</sup> and X.Q. Xu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Joint Research Centre, Italy

<sup>2</sup>York Plasma Institute, Department of Physics, University of York, UK

<sup>3</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, CA, USA









## Motivation for L-H transition simulation by RMHD model

- Understanding of L-H transition mechanism is one of key issues for
  - designing a high performance core plasma
  - controlling the heat load on plasma facing materials
- Integrated transport simulation framework for L-H transition in JAEA<sup>[1]</sup>
  - $\circ$  1.5D core transport code **TOPICS** + 2D SOL/divetor transport code **SONIC** 
    - CDBM turbulent transport model
  - Qualitative evaluation was accomplished in this framework
    - Spontaneous turbulence quench at edge region by mean flow shear
  - Quantitative evaluations of L-H transition were not accomplished in this framework
    - Pressure at pedestal top
    - Power threshold for L-H transition



Improvement of turbulent transport model or development of first principal simulation framework are required for quantitative analysis of L-H transition.

- Improvement of LH transition simulation frameworks through comparison with first principal and integrated transport simulations
  - From first principal simulation to integrated transport simulation

- Improvement of CDBM turbulent transport model by introducing
  - $\cdot$  turbulence suppression by zonal flow shear
  - contribution from SOL/divertor transport
- From integrated transport simulation to first principal simulation
  - Improvement of SOL/Divetor physics model in BOUT++ by
    - · Implementation of neutral particle/impurity transport model
    - · Improvement of sink model
- Framework for whole-time edge plasma simulation
  - LH transition (1-2): BOUT++
  - Pedestal formation (2-3): TOPICS/SONIC
  - ELM collapse (3-0): BOUT++



In this study we have been developing L-H transition simulation framework with CDBM turbulence based on 3-D nonlinear edge MHD/turbulence code BOUT++  $\,$ 

[1] M. Yagi et al., Contrib. Plasma Phys. 52, 372 (2012)

### Minimal set for L-H transition simulation by RMHD model

- 3D flux-driven simulations of L-H transition by 2-field RMHD model<sup>[2,3]</sup>
  - Resistive ballooning mode turbulence
  - Sheared mean flow by poloidal damping

• Self-consistent pressure evolution by dynamic heat balance  

$$\frac{\partial U_{\rm E}}{\partial t} = -\left[\phi, U_{\rm E}\right] - B^2 \nabla_{\parallel} J_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{b}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \nabla P + \mu_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 U_{\rm E} - \mu_{\rm nc} \left[ \bar{U}_{\rm E} - \delta(k_{\rm nc} - 1) \bar{U}_{\rm D} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\left[\phi, P\right] + \chi_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel}^2 P + \chi_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 P + S_p - L_p$$

$$\begin{split} U_{\rm E} &= \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi, \ U_{\rm D} = \nabla_{\perp}^2 P, \ J = S \nabla_{\parallel} \phi, \\ \delta &= d_{\rm i}/4B_0, \ d_a: \ \text{skin depth}, \ P_{\rm i} = P_{\rm e} = P/2, \\ [f,g] &= \boldsymbol{b} \times \nabla_{\perp} f \cdot \nabla_{\perp} g, \ \bar{f}: \ (0,0)\text{-component} \\ \text{of } f, \ S_{\rm p}: \ \text{heat source}, \ L_{\rm p}: \ \text{heat sink}, \\ \mu_{\rm nc}, \ k_{\rm nc}: \ \text{ quantities related to neoclassical} \\ \text{flow/friction coefficients}, \ n_{\rm i} = \text{const.} \end{split}$$

#### Red term describes poloidal damping

[2] L. Chônè *et al.* Phys. Plasmas **21**, 070702 (2014)

[3] G.Y. Park et al., IAEA-FEC 2014 TH-8-1



L-H transition simulation by L. Chônè [2]

### **Derivation of poloidal damping term**

length	$L_{\rm N} = R_{\rm mag}$	vorticity	$U_{\rm N} = 1/t_{\rm N}$
magnetic field	$B_{\rm N} = B_{\rm mag}$	vector potential(ish)	$\psi_{\rm N} = L_{\rm N}$
velocity	$V_{\rm N} = V_{A\rm N} = B_{\rm N} / \sqrt{\mu_0 m_{\rm i} n_{\rm i}}$	electrostatic potential	$\phi_{\rm N} = V_{\rm N} L_{\rm N} B_0$
time	$t_{\rm N} = L_{\rm N}/V_{\rm N}$	resistivity	$\eta_{\rm N} = \mu_0 V_{\rm N} L_{\rm N}$
viscosity	$\mu_{\rm N} = L_{\rm N}^2 / t_{\rm N}$	hyper-resistivity	$\lambda_{\rm N} = \mu_0 \bar{V}_A L_{\rm N}^3$
pressure	$P_{\rm N} = B_{\rm N}^2 / 2\mu_0$	diffusivity	$\chi_{\rm N} = L_{\rm N}^2 / t_{\rm N}$
current	$J_{\rm N} = -B_0/\mu_0 L_{\rm N}$	viscosity	$\mu_{\rm N} = L_{\rm N}^2 / t_{\rm N}$
frequency	$\nu_{\rm N} = t_{\rm N}^{-1}$	heat source & sink	$W_{\rm N} = P_{\rm N}/t_{\rm N}$

- Poloidal damping term is derived from
  - $\circ$  Gianakon's heuristic closure for parallel viscous force<sup>[3]</sup>
  - $\circ\,$  Radial force balance with poloidal rotation of ion
  - $\circ$  Parallel force balance of ion in neoclassical transport theory<sup>[4]</sup>
- Gianakon's heuristic closure for parallel viscous force in SI unit

$$\nabla \cdot \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\Pi_{i\parallel}} = m_{i}n_{i}(\langle B^{2} \rangle / B_{\theta}^{2}) \left( \mu_{i1}\bar{V}_{i\theta} + \mu_{i2}\bar{W}_{i\theta} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}, \quad \boldsymbol{W}_{i} = 2\boldsymbol{q}_{i}/5P_{i}$$
(1)  
$$\boldsymbol{B} = \nabla \zeta \times \nabla \psi + B_{\zeta}\nabla \zeta, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} = \sqrt{g}\nabla \zeta \times \nabla \psi, \quad g^{\psi\zeta} = g^{\theta\zeta} = 0, \quad g^{\zeta\zeta} = R^{-2}$$

- $\circ~(\psi,\theta,\zeta)$  is the orthogonal toroidal coordinates
- Red colored term is additional term expressing contribution from heat flux
- $\circ~$  Contribution from heat flux results in offset poloidal flow term
- Ion poloidal flow by radial force balance w/ poloidal rotation

$$\nabla \bar{P}_{i} \cdot \nabla \psi = e_{i} n_{i} (-\nabla \bar{\phi} + \bar{V}_{i} \times B) \cdot \nabla \psi$$
  
$$\bar{V}_{i\theta} = \frac{\sqrt{g} g^{\psi\psi}}{B_{\zeta}} \frac{d}{d\psi} \left( \bar{\phi} + \frac{\bar{P}_{i}}{e_{i} n_{i}} \right), \quad \frac{\langle B^{2} \rangle}{B_{\theta}^{2}} \bar{V}_{i\theta} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} = \frac{B_{0}}{B_{p}^{2}} \boldsymbol{b}_{0} \times \nabla \left( \bar{\phi} + \frac{\bar{P}_{i}}{e_{i} n_{i}} \right)$$
(2)

• Ion poloidal heat flow determined by parallel force balance in  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  limit

• From (1)-(3), Gianakon's heuristic closure becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\Pi}_{i\parallel} = m_{i} n_{i} \mu_{i1} \frac{B_{0}}{B_{p}^{2}} \boldsymbol{b}_{0} \times \nabla \psi \left[ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\psi} \left( \bar{\phi} + \frac{\bar{P}_{i}}{e_{i} n_{i}} \right) - k_{\mathrm{nc}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\psi} \left( \frac{\bar{P}_{i}}{e_{i} n_{i}} \right) \right]$$

#### Red terms describes radial force balance with neoclassical poloidal flow

 $\circ$  Interpolation formula for  $\mu_{i1}$  and  $k_{nc}$ 

$$\mu_{i1} = \frac{0.66\varepsilon^{1/2}\nu_{i}}{(1+1.03\nu_{i*}^{1/2}+0.31\nu_{i*})(1+0.66\varepsilon^{3/2}\nu_{i*})}$$
$$k_{nc} = \frac{1}{1+\nu_{i*}^{2}\varepsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{1.17-0.35\nu_{i*}^{1/2}}{1+0.7\nu_{i*}^{1/2}}-2.1\nu_{i*}^{2}\varepsilon^{3}\right)$$

• Gianakon's heuristic closure results in poloidal damping term

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \cdot \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\Pi}_{i\parallel} = & m_{i} n_{i} \mu_{nc} \left[ \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \frac{\bar{\phi}}{B_{0}} - (k_{nc} - 1) \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \frac{\bar{P}_{i}}{e_{i} n_{i} B_{0}} \right], \quad \mu_{nc} = \mu_{i1} \frac{B_{0}^{2}}{B_{p}^{2}} \\ \overbrace{\text{normalize}}^{\text{normalize}} \mu_{nc} \left[ \bar{U}_{E} - \delta(k_{nc} - 1) \bar{U}_{D} \right], \end{split}$$

• with self-consistently evolving coefficients  $\mu_{nc}(\nu_{i*}) \rightarrow \mu_{nc}(P), \quad k_{nc}(\nu_{i*}) \rightarrow k_{nc}(P)$ 

• Simplified 2-field RMHD model for LH transition

$$\frac{\partial U_{\rm E}}{\partial t} = -\left[\phi, U_{\rm E}\right] - B_0^2 \nabla_{\parallel} J_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{b}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\kappa} \cdot \nabla P + \mu_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 U - \mu_{\rm nc}^* \left[\bar{U}_{\rm E} - \delta k_{\rm nc}^* \bar{U}_{\rm D}\right]$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\left[\phi, P\right] + \chi_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp}^2 P + \chi_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel}^2 P + S_p - PL_p$$

 $\eta = \mu_{\perp} = \chi_{\perp} = 10^{-6}, \quad \chi_{\parallel} = 10^{-2}$ 

### • Simplified poloidal damping coefficients

- Profiles of  $\mu_{\rm nc}^*$  and  $k_{\rm nc}^*$  are given by hyperbolic functions by reference to Ref. [2,3]
- Interaction between  $E_r$  shear and diamag. flow through  $\mu_{nc}$  and  $k_{nc}$  are neglected

#### • Parabolic heat source and step heat sink

We have investigated impact of poloidal damping on RMB turbulence



#### • Computational grid generated by circular equilibrium generator of BOUT++

• Input parameters  

$$R_0 = 300[\text{cm}], a_0 = 75[\text{cm}], B_0 = 4[\text{T}],$$
  
 $\beta_p = 0.01, \Delta = 5[\text{cm}], n_i = 1.0 \times 10^{19}[\text{m}^{-3}]$   
 $q(\rho) = 1.5 + 2\rho^2, \quad \rho = r/a_0$   
 $p_1(\rho) = 0.5 \left(1 - \tanh\left[\frac{(\rho - 0.4)}{0.225}\right]\right)$ 



• **Computational domain**:

radial:  $0.47 \le \psi \le 1.19$ , poloidal:  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ , toroidal:  $0 \le \zeta \le 2\pi/6$ 

• **Resolution**:

 $\mathsf{radial} \times \mathsf{poloidal} \times \mathsf{toroidal} = 132 \times 128 \times 65$ 

- Positions of radial boundary: Core boundary: radial index = 0,  $\rho = 0.6$ ,  $\psi = 0.47$ Separatrix: radial index = 98,  $\rho = 1.0$ ,  $\psi = 1.0$ SOL boundary: radial index = 132,  $\rho \simeq 1.2$ ,  $\psi = 1.19$
- Boundary conditions

 $\partial U_{\rm E}/\partial \psi = 0$ ,  $\partial \phi/\partial \psi = 0$ ,  $\partial P/\partial \psi = 0$  at core boundary  $U_{\rm E} = 0$ ,  $\phi = 0$ , P = 0 at SOL boundary

• Simulation results of w/ poloidal damping case

 $\circ$  toroidal mode structure at  $\psi \simeq 0.76$  on outer mid-plane (a)

- $\circ$  poloidal plot on  $\zeta = 0$  plane at  $t = 600\tau_A$  (b),  $t = 800\tau_A$  (c),  $t = 1000\tau_A$ (d)
- $\circ$  time evolution of flux surface averaged  $E_r$  (e) and and P (f)



- \* RBM structure m = 36 (q = 3, n = 12) was observed at  $t = 800\tau_A$
- \* Strongly sheared  $E_r$  was observed at the vicinity of separatrix
- \* Pedestal-like P profile was obtained

#### • Simulation results of w/o poloidal damping case

 $\circ$  toroidal mode structure at  $\psi \simeq 0.76$  on outer mid-plane (a)

- $\circ$  poloidal plot on  $\zeta = 0$  plane at  $t = 600\tau_A$  (b),  $t = 850\tau_A$  (c),  $t = 1000\tau_A$ (d)
- $\circ$  time evolution of flux surface averaged  $E_r$  (e) and and P (f)



\* RBM structure m = 36 (q = 3, n = 12) was observed at  $t = 850\tau_A$ 

\* After  $t \simeq 850\tau_A$ , simulation was broken and unphisical results are obtained. Improvement of simulation settings is required

### • Summary

- The detailed derivation of poloidal damping term was described
  - NC poloidal damping term was derived from
    - $\cdot$  Gianakon's closure for parallel viscous force
    - $\cdot\,$  Radial force balance with poloidal flow of ion
    - $\cdot$  Parallel force balance of ion in neoclassical transport theory
- Preliminary simulations by 2-field RMHD model + simplified poloidal damping + dynamic heat balance were demonstrated by BOUT++
  - RBM were observed in both w/ and w/o NC poloidal damping term
  - Poloidal damping generated strongly sheared radial electric field and pedestallike pressure in the vicinity of the separatrix
- Future work
  - **Development of postscripts for turbulence analysis**
  - $\circ$  Implementation of self-consistent  $\mu_{nc}$  and  $k_{nc}$
  - LH transition simulation by 3-field RMHD model

## (extra) L-H transition simulation framework by 3-field RMHD

• 3-field RMHD model for L-H transition with CDBM turbulence

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} &= -\left[\phi, \Psi\right] - \frac{1}{B_0} \nabla^0_{\parallel} \left(B_0 \phi\right) + d_i^* \nabla_{\parallel} P + \eta J_{\parallel} - \lambda \nabla^2_{\perp} J_{\parallel} \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} &= -\left[\varphi, U\right] + \frac{d_i^*}{2} \left(\left[P, U\right] + \left[\varphi, U_{\rm D}\right] + \nabla^2_{\perp} \left[P, \varphi\right]\right) \\ &\quad - B_0^2 \nabla_{\parallel} J_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b}_0 \times \mathbf{\kappa} \cdot \nabla P + \mu_{\perp} \nabla^2_{\perp} U - \mu_{\rm nc} \left[\bar{U}_{\rm E} - \delta(k_{\rm nc} - 1)\bar{U}_{\rm D}\right] \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} &= -\left[\phi, P\right] + \chi_{\perp} \nabla^2_{\perp} P + \chi_{\parallel} \nabla^2_{\parallel} P + S_p - L_p \end{split}$$

$$\Psi = \psi - d_{\rm e}^2 J_{\parallel}, \quad \varphi = \phi + d_{\rm i}^* P, \quad U = \nabla_{\perp}^2 \varphi, \quad J_{\parallel} = \nabla_{\perp}^2 \psi, \quad d_{\rm i}^* = d_{\rm i}/4B_0$$

- CDBM turbulence + poloidal damping + dynamic heat balance
- 2-field RMHD model for L-H transition is reproduced if
  - Two-fluid effects are neglected  $(d_{\rm e}, d_{\rm i}^* \rightarrow 0)$
  - Electrostatic turbulence is assumed,  $(J_{\parallel} = \eta^{-1} \nabla_{\parallel} \phi)$