21st NEXT, Kyoto



Simulation Study of Triton Burn-up in the Deuterium Experiment Plasma on LHD M. Homma, S. Murakami, M. Isobe¹, H. Tomita², and K. Ogawa¹ Department of Nuclear Engineering, Kyoto University

Introduction

LHD D-D experiment and triton burn-up

- > In the Large Helical Device (LHD), experiments using deuterium plasmas are planned to clarify the isotope effect on energy confinement or turbulent transport and to understand energetic ion confinement.
- ▶ Five NBI (Neutral Beam Injection) heating systems are installed in the LHD: three tangential injection beams $(E_{\rm b} = 180 \text{ keV})$ and two perpendicular beams ($E_{\rm b} = 60-80 \text{ keV}$).
- ▶ During the D-D discharges, 1 MeV tritons and 2.5 MeV neutrons are produced mainly through D-D fusion reactions between deuterium NBI beams and deuterium thermal ions, and the confined tritons can undergo D-T fusion reactions emitting 14 MeV neutrons (triton burn-up).



$$\begin{split} D+D &\rightarrow T(1.01\,\mathrm{MeV}) + p(3.03\,\mathrm{MeV}) \\ D+D &\rightarrow \mathrm{He}^3(0.82\,\mathrm{MeV}) + n(2.45\,\mathrm{MeV}) \\ D+T &\rightarrow \mathrm{He}^4(3.52\,\mathrm{MeV}) + n(14.06\,\mathrm{MeV}) \end{split}$$

 \succ Triton burn-up ratio is defined as the 14 to 2.5 MeV neutron production ratio. The confinement and slowing down of energetic tritons can be experimentally investigated by detecting the 14 MeV neutrons and measuring the triton burn-up ratios [1].

Objective of this study

- ▶ In this study, we simulate the triton burn-up for the LHD deuterium plasma experiment using the five-dimensional drift kinetic equation solver GNET (Global NEoclassical Transport) and predict the signals of the neutron measurement systems.
- > We evaluate the triton production rates due to D-D reactions applying the distribution functions of the NBI deuterons obtained by GNET. Next we investigate the confinement of energetic tritons and calculate the D-T fusion reaction rates using the triton distribution functions.
- \succ It is necessary to estimate the contribution of beam-beam reactions, in which both reacting ions are injected NBI deuterons, for more precise prediction of triton burn-up ratios and neutron production rates.



¹National Institute for Fusion Science

²Department of Quantum Engineering, Nagoya University

Results

ectron temperature at the magnetic axis	$T_{\rm e}(0)$	3.0 keV
tron temperature at the outermost surface	$T_{\rm e}(a)$	0.1 keV
Electron density at the magnetic axis	$n_{\rm e}(0)$	$2.0 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
ectron density at the outermost surface	$n_{\rm e}(a)$	$0.1 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Magnetic field strength	B_0	2.75 T
Magnetic axis major radius	R _{ax}	3.60 m
Beta value	β	0.23 %



NBI #1-#5 (different beamlines)



- \succ When both of co- (NBI #1) and counter- (NBI #2) beamlines are injected simultaneously, the synergetic beam-beam fusion rates can be about 7.6 times of the sum of each beamline contribution alone resulting from the increase of the relative velocity.
- \succ The total neutron production rate due to thermal-thermal, beam-thermal, and beam-beam reactions is calculated to be 2.1×10^{16} s⁻¹ in the 1MW case.

Triton confinement and D-T reactions

Velocity distributions of tritons

Confined tritons

-0.5

-1



 \succ A relatively large number of deeply helically trapped particles, whose orbits are stable along helical ripples, are seen in the region

> The stochastic behavior of the transition particles would enhance the radial diffusion of energetic particles.

➤ A lot of tritons escape with almost all of their initial energy of 1 MeV due to prompt orbit loss.

Typical orbits of energetic tritons



Simulation Model

GNET code [2]

- > The drift kinetic equation below is solved in five-dimensional phase space based on Monte Carlo methods in order to obtain the distribution function of test particles.
- > The test particle orbits are followed with high accuracy by the sixthorder Runge-Kutta method.

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{v}_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{dr}}) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}} = C^{\mathrm{coll}}(f_s) + L^{\mathrm{particle}}(f_s) + S$$

 f_s : the distribution function of test particles $v_{\rm dr}$: the drift velocity L^{particle} : the particle loss term

 v_{\parallel} : the parallel velocity to the field line C^{coll} : the linear Coulomb collision operator $S_{\rm s}$: the source term of test particles

- 1. We solve the drift kinetic equation for NBI deuterons by GNET and obtain the deuteron beam distribution functions. The birth profile of NBI deuterons is calculated by the HFREYA code [3].
- 2. Using the calculated distribution function and the D-D fusion cross section [4], we evaluate the triton production rates due to thermalthermal, beam-thermal, and beam-beam reactions.
- 3. Applying the source profile of tritons, we solve the drift kinetic equation for energetic tritons by GNET and obtain the triton distribution functions.
- 4. Using the calculated distribution function and the D-T fusion cross section [4], we evaluate the D-T neutron production rates due to D-T reactions between fast tritons and Maxwellian thermal deuterons.

$$S_{\rm T} = S_{\rm th-th} + S_{\rm b-th} + S_{\rm b-b}$$

$$S_{\rm th-th} = \frac{1}{2} n_{\rm D}^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm DD, th-th}$$

$$S_{\rm b-th} = n_{\rm b} n_{\rm D} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm DD, b-th}$$

$$S_{\rm b-th} = n_{\rm b} n_{\rm D} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm DD, b-th}$$

$$S_{\rm n:14 \, MeV} = n_{\rm D} n_{\rm T} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm DT}$$

$$C f$$

reactivity:
$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{1-2} = \iint f_1(\boldsymbol{v}_1) f_2(\boldsymbol{v}_2) \sigma(E) | \boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_2 | \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v}_1 \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v}_2$$

 \succ We compute the integral as a limit of the Riemann sum below.

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{1-2} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-V_1}^{V_1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-V_2}^{V_2} \int_0^{V_2} \int_0^{2\pi} f_1(v_{1\parallel}, v_{1\perp}) f_2(v_{2\parallel}, v_{2\perp}) \\ &\quad \times \sigma(v_{1\parallel}, v_{1\perp}, v_{2\parallel}, v_{2\perp}) U_{\text{rel}}(v_{1\parallel}, v_{1\perp}, \varphi_1, v_{2\parallel}, v_{2\perp}, \varphi_2) \\ &\quad \times 2\pi v_{1\perp} dv_{1\parallel} dv_{1\perp} d\varphi_1 2\pi v_{2\perp} dv_{2\parallel} dv_{2\perp} d\varphi_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2N_v} \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\varphi} \sum_{l=1}^{2N_v} \sum_{m=1}^{N_v} \sum_{n=1}^{N_\varphi} \left[f_1(v_{1\parallel i}, v_{1\perp j}) f_2(v_{2\parallel l}, v_{2\perp m}) \\ &\quad \times \sigma(v_{1\parallel i}, v_{1\perp j}, v_{2\parallel l}, v_{2\perp m}) U_{\text{rel}}(v_{1\parallel i}, v_{1\perp j}, \varphi_{1k}, v_{2\parallel l}, v_{2\perp m}, \varphi_{2n}) \\ &\quad \times v_{1\perp j} v_{2\perp m} \Delta v_{1\parallel} \Delta v_{1\perp} \Delta \varphi_1 \Delta v_{2\parallel} \Delta v_{2\perp} \Delta \varphi_2 \right] \\ U_{\text{rel}}(v_{1\parallel i}, v_{1\perp j}, \varphi_{1k}, v_{2\parallel l}, v_{2\perp m}, \varphi_{2n}) = \left[(v_{1\parallel i} - v_{2\parallel l})^2 \right] \end{split}$$

 $+ (v_{1\perp j}\cos\varphi_{1k} - v_{2\perp m}\cos\varphi_{2n})^2 + (v_{1\perp j}\sin\varphi_{1k} - v_{2\perp m}\sin\varphi_{2n})^2\Big]^{1/2}$ φ : the gyro-phase of test particles

> The core beam density is lower in the R_{ax} = 3.50 m case because the NBI system is designed so as to effectively heat the core plasma in the outward shifted configuration. \succ In the perpendicular injection (NBI #4 and #5), the beam deuterons tend to become helically trapped particles and are relatively easily lost by orbit loss.

Typical orbits of passing NBI deuterons

z/a

 \succ The poloidal drift motion is in opposite direction for co- and counter-passing particles. Hence, the orbits of deuterons from the NBI #2 tend to deviate from the center region.

$$\begin{array}{c}
9\\
8\\
7\\
6\\
5\\
4\\
3\\
2\\
1\\
0\\
0\end{array}$$









> The beam-beam fusion rate varies as the heating power squared, while the beam-thermal one is linearly proportional to the power. > The ratio of the beam-beam contribution to the total triton production

rate is approximately 1.3% in the case of 1 MW NBI power.



- 2002).

Temporal history of triton loss fraction

[2] S. Murakami et al., Nucl. Fusion 40, 693 (2000). [3] S. Murakami, Trans. Fusion Technology 27, 256 (1995). [4] J. D. Huba, NRL Plasma Formulary (Naval Research Laboratory,