21st NEXT Workshop 2016/Mar./10-11, Kyoto Terrsa, Kyoto



Impact of ion diamagnetic drift effect on MHD stability at edge pedestal of rotating tokamaks

N. Aiba, M. Honda, K. Kamiya

Japan Atomic Energy Agency

Introduction



- Peeling-ballooning mode (PBM) is the strongest candidate of the trigger of the type-I edge localized mode (ELM). [Snyder PoP2002 etc.]
- Usually, the toroidal mode number (n) of the mode is intermediate (~30), but the results in JT-60U and JET-ILW imply higher-n modes sometimes trigger type-I ELM. [Aiba NF2011, Giroud PPCF2015]
- Theoretically, such high-*n* modes are stabilized by an ion diamagnetic drift (ω_{*i}) effect.[Tang NF1980 etc.]

What causes the discrepancy between theory and experiment?





PBM stability diagram in JET-ILW (*n* is up to 50), [Giroud PPCF2015]



To analyze the PBM stability with ω_{*i} effect in rotating plasmas, we use the drift MHD model derived by Hazeltine and Meiss. [Hazeltine and Meiss, Plasma Confinement]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{DN}{Dt} + N\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} &= 0, \qquad \frac{DP}{Dt} \Big|_{MHD} + \Gamma P\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_{MHD} &= 0, \\ \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V}_{MHD} \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{eN} \nabla P &= 0, \qquad m_i N \left(\frac{D\mathbf{V}_E}{Dt} + \frac{D}{Dt} \Big|_{MHD} (V_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}) \right) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla P. \\ \mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{V}_{MHD} + \mathbf{V}_{pi} = \mathbf{V}_E + V_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}, \qquad \mathbf{V}_{pi} = \frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla p_i}{eZNB^2}, \qquad \mathbf{V}_E = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2}, \\ \mathbf{D}_D &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla), \qquad \frac{D}{Dt} \Big|_{MHD} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_{MHD} \cdot \nabla) \\ \text{N: number density, } \mathbf{V}: \text{ velocity, } P: \text{ pressure, } \Gamma: \text{ heat capacity ratio,} \end{aligned}$$

E: electric field, *B*: magnetic field, *J*: plasma current, $b \equiv B/B$,

e: elementary charge, m_i : ion mass, *Z*: effective charge, p_i : ion pressure



Approximations used for simplifying the drift MHD model

We simplify the model with Frieman-Rotenberg formalism. Approximations:

1. In Faraday's law, non-ideal term is neglected.

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{MHD} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{1}{eN} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{P} \right)$$

This approximation can be justified when

- a. Rotation is enough slow compared to ion thermal velocity.
- b. Density N or temperature T is constant in a plasma
- c. Functional form of N is proportional to that of T. (Details are in Appendix)
- 2. Magnetic field varies slowly $\nabla \times (\mathbf{b}/B) \ll 1$. This helps to change the continuity equation as follows.

$$\left. \frac{DN}{Dt} \right|_{MHD} + N\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_{MHD} = 0$$

Simplified drift MHD equation



Also, by assuming the incompressibility $\nabla \cdot \xi = 0$, the flute approximation $(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \xi \ll 1$ and $T_i = T_e$, we can derive the following equation [Aiba submitted to PPCF]. $(T_i(T_e))$: ion (electron) temperature).

$$\begin{split} \rho_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \xi}{\partial t^{2}} &+ 2\rho_{0} \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{0,MHD} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \rho_{0} \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{0,pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \frac{\partial \xi_{\perp}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{F}_{MHD} + \boldsymbol{F}_{di}, \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{MHD} &= \boldsymbol{J}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{1} + (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{1}) \times \boldsymbol{B}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{1} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \otimes \left[\rho_{0} \boldsymbol{\xi} \otimes (\boldsymbol{V}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{V}_{0,MHD} - \rho_{0} \boldsymbol{V}_{0} \otimes \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{0,MHD} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \right], \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{di} &= \rho_{0} \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{0})}{2en_{0}B_{0}^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{B}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \boldsymbol{V}_{0,MHD,\perp}, \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} &= 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{V}_{0} = \boldsymbol{V}_{0,MHD} + \boldsymbol{V}_{0,pi}, \qquad \boldsymbol{V}_{0,pi} = \frac{1}{2eZn_{0}B_{0}^{2}} \boldsymbol{B}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} P_{0} \end{split}$$

MINERVA code[Aiba CPC2009] is updated to solve this equation.



Benchmark test of MINERVA-DI

In a static plasma, the growth rate γ and the mode frequency ω can be estimated by the dispersion relation with $\omega_{*i}(= \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0,pi})$ effect as follows.[Tang NF1980 etc.]

$$\gamma + \iota \omega = \iota \frac{\omega_{*i}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\gamma_{MHD}^2 - \frac{\omega_{*i}^2}{4}}, \ \gamma_{MHD}$$
: γ of ideal MHD mode

MINERVA-DI shows good agreements with this when internal kink and high-n ballooning stability is analyzed.



Ballooning mode stability with ω_{*i} is analyzed in rotating tokamaks

- Equilibrium has circular cross-section, and A = 3.0.
- Ideal ballooning mode is unstable for $n \ge 12$, but the mode is suppressed by ω_{*i} effect.
- Plasma (hydrogen) density is $N = 2.0 \times 10^{19} [1/m^3]$.
- Rotation profile is determined as follow.

$$\Omega_{\phi} = C_* \omega_{*i,peak} \quad \left(0 \le \psi \le \psi_{peak} \right) \\ = C_* \omega_{*i}(\psi, R_0) \quad \left(\psi_{peak} \le \psi \le 1 \right)$$

Here $\omega_{*i,peak} = 9.51 \times 10^{-3} \omega_{A0}$ at $\psi = \psi_{peak}$, ω_{A0} is the toroidal Alfven frequency on axis.



Plasma rotation can cancel ω_{*i} stabilizing effect on ballooning mode



Plasma rotation changes ballooning mode stability as follows.

- Intermediate-n modes become unstable, though high-n modes are stabilized.
- Ballooning mode becomes unstable even when ω_{*i} effect is taken into account.
- Maximum γ of ballooning mode with ω_{*i} effect is converged to that of ideal ballooning mode.

*PBM stability with ω_{*i} is analyzed in a rotating shaped tokamak*



- PBM stability is analyzed in D-shaped (double-null) plasma.
- Density is assumed as $N = 1.0 \times 10^{20} [1/m^3]$.
- Rotation profile is determined as follow.

 Ω_{ϕ} [krad/s]= 49.5 $(1 - \psi^{48})^4 + 0.5$.

- By changing bulk ion species from hydrogen *H* to deuterium *D*, $\Omega_{\phi}/\omega_{A0}$ and ω_{*i}/ω_{A0} is increased by factor of $\sqrt{2}$.
- PBM stability is analyzed in both *H* and *D* plasmas.

Rotation of "heavy" plasma has large impact on PBM stability



Large $\Omega_{\phi}/\omega_{A0}$ has impact on PBM stability, but impact of ω_{*i} on PBM is not affected by ion species so much,



Plasma effective mass potentially changes (ideal) PBM stability.

Large Z_{eff} reduces impact of ω_{*i} on PBM stability



Impact of ω_{*i} on PBM stability depends on Z_{eff} due to $\omega_{*i} \propto Z_{eff}^{-1}$, but ideal MHD stability is independent from Z_{eff} .

Plasma effective charge changes ω_{*i} effect on PBM stability.

Rotation effect on type-I ELM in JT-60U

The equilibira analyzed numerically are E49228 and E49229; these are type-I ELMy H-mode plasmas [A. Kojima et al., NF, (2009)].



We compare the results of the numerical stability analysis of these equilibria just before ELM.

Stability boundary is shifted by ω_{*i} effect in static plasmas



- When the plasma is assumed as static, PBM stability boundary is shifted to larger- α side by ω_{*i} effect as expected.
- Difference between operation point and boundary increases from ~10% to >20% of α_{94} on operation point in E49228, and from ~20% to ~40% in E49229.

Plasma rotation can counteract @ ω_{*i} effect on PBM stability boundary



- Rotation profile of deuterium (*D*) is estimated with TOPICS integrated simulation code. [M. Honda NF2013]
- Rotation has little impact on pedestal stability in E49228.
- Rotation cancels ω_{*i} effect on pedestal stability in E49229, but the stability boundary is still far from operation point.

Poloidal rotation can affect edge MHD stability

Difference of the mode frequency $n\omega$ from the Dopplershifted frequency **k.v** is essential for destabilizing edge MHD modes.[Aiba NF2011].

 $\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = -in\Omega_{\phi} + im\Omega_{\theta} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \Omega_{\phi} : \text{ toroidal rotation freq., } \Omega_{\theta} : \text{poloidal rotation freq.} \\ n : \text{ toroidal mode number, } m : \text{poloidal mode number} \end{array}$

For the Fourier harmonics which satisfy m-nq=0, $m\Omega_{\theta} \sim n\Omega_{\phi}$ even when $v_{\theta}=(nr/mR)v_{\phi} \sim 0.1v_{\phi}$ if q=3 and $R/r \sim 3.3$.

$$= \iota \Omega_{\parallel} (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} / B) - \iota n \Omega_t \qquad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t$$

 Ω_{\Box} : freq. parallel to magnetic field,

 Ω_t : freq. in toroidal direction

Near rational surfaces, **k.B**~0. $\rightarrow \Omega_t$ will be important. MINERVA(-DI) can identify the poloidal rotation effect on MHD stability (at present, poloidal rotation effect on equilibrium is neglected.)



Re-evaluate stability diagram with not only Ω_{ϕ} but also Ω_{θ} .

PBM stability boundary can be predicted by counting Ω_{ϕ} and Ω_{θ}



- In E49228, poloidal (+toroidal) rotation moves the ideal MHD boundary near operation point, but ω_{*i} effect shifts the boundary far from the point.
- In E49229, ω_{*i} effect is negligible as the toroidally rotating case, and the boundary becomes closer operation point.

Summary



- Linearized drift MHD equation was derived with Frieman-Rotenberg formalism.
- MINERVA-DI code was developed to solve the drift MHD equation.
- It is found that ion diamagnetic drift (ω_{*i}) effect on ballooning/peeling-ballooning(PBM) stability can be canceled by plasma rotation.
- Effective mass/charge have potential to change PBM stability when rotation and ω_{*i} are counted.
- Cancellation of ω_{*i} effect by rotation plays an important role on PBM stability boundary in JT-60U.
- PBM stability analysis in JET ITER-like metallic wall is on going, and the result will be reported in near future.