第12回 若手研究者によるプラズマ研究会 @日本原子力開発機構 那珂核融合研究所 ITER会議室 2009年3月16-18日



#### 西村征也, 矢木雅敏<sup>1</sup>, 伊藤早苗<sup>1</sup>, 伊藤公孝<sup>2</sup> 九大総理工, 九大応力研<sup>1</sup>, 核融合研<sup>2</sup>

#### INDEX

- 研究背景
   トカマクプラズマにおける磁気島
   磁気島の回転
- 非線形シミュレーション
- ・解析的モデリング 0-Dモデルによる磁気島の回転の記述
- Summary

# 研究背景1

- トカマクプラズマにおける磁気島は高β(高 圧)状態を壊し、さらにはディスラプション (プラズマの瞬間的崩壊)を引き起こす。
- ディスラプションは、磁気島のポロイダル回転が抵抗性壁により減衰し誤差磁場(error-field)によりLock(停止)されて引き起こされることが観測されている。





# 研究背景 2 Rotation of magnetic island



# 研究背景3

・ LHDにおける磁気島周りの流れ場(径電場)の観測



Figure 3. Radial profiles of radial electric field for various current of n/m = 1/1 perturbation coil of 0, -690, -800, -900, -1000 and -1200 A.

[K.Ida *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion 44 (2004) 209]

# Typical nonlinear evolution of magnetic island



Contour of magnetic filed

#### **Reduced two-fluid Equations**



Cylindrical Coordinate: 
$$(r, \theta, z)$$
  
Operator:  $\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + [\phi, ]$   $\nabla_{\parallel} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - [A, ]$   $\nabla_{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$   
 $[f,g] = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \nabla f \times \nabla g$   
Normalization:  $r/a \rightarrow r, \ z/R_0 \rightarrow z, \ v_A t/R_0 \rightarrow t$   
H =  $\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_{\perp}p|^2 dV - \eta_{\parallel} \int |j_{\parallel}|^2 dV$   
 $-\eta_{\perp} \int |\nabla_{\perp}p|^2 dV - \frac{\epsilon^2 \chi_{\parallel}}{\beta} \int |\nabla_{\parallel}T|^2 dV$   
 $-\frac{\chi_{\parallel}}{\beta} \int |\nabla_{\perp}T|^2 dV,$   
H =  $\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_{\perp}\phi|^2 dV + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla_{\perp}A|^2 dV$   
 $+ \frac{1}{2\beta} \int |n|^2 dV + \frac{3}{4\beta} \int |T|^2 dV$ 



# **External helical magnetic field**

• Misalignment of toroidal coils induces radial magnetic field (so-called 'error field'), which affects the island rotation.

**Typical value:** 
$$\frac{B_r}{B_z} \approx 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$$

[ITER Physics Basis Editors et al., Nucl. Fusion 39, 2137 (1999). ]

Error field induces finite boundary condition.



# **Contour of vector potential**

Without error field

With error field



 $\operatorname{Re}\left[\tilde{A}_{2,1}(r,t)\exp(im\theta)\right] \quad z=0$ 

# Locking of island rotation



with error field



$$\omega_{r} \approx \left\langle \omega_{*} + \tilde{\omega}_{*} \right\rangle + \left\langle \tilde{\omega}_{E \times B} \right\rangle$$

Electron diamagnetic flow

E×B flow

# **Radial profile of flows**



### **Time evolution of stresses**

#### with error field



Maxwell stress  $\langle M \rangle$ 

Ion viscosity  $\langle V$ 

**Direct influence of error field via J×B** 

**Response of E×B flow** 

#### Low dimensional model

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega_{r} \approx \langle M \rangle + \langle V \rangle$$

$$\Delta w \ll w_{0}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\omega_{r} \approx -C_{M}\sin 2\theta - C_{V}\omega_{r}$$

$$\frac{Maxwell}{stress}$$
Ion viscosity
with
$$C_{M} = \frac{mk_{\theta 2,1}|_{rs}s_{s}^{2}\Delta_{0}'w_{0}^{2}}{256q_{s}^{2}}|\Delta w|$$

$$C_{V} = \frac{8\mu}{w_{0}^{2}k_{\theta 2,1}|_{rs}}$$

$$C_{V} \rightarrow 0$$
  

$$\omega_{r} = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{C_{M}}{k^{2}}}\sqrt{1 - k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{C_{M}}{k^{2}}}t\right)}$$
  

$$\frac{1}{k^{2}} = \sin^{2}\frac{\theta_{0}}{2} + \frac{\omega_{r0}^{2}}{4C_{M}}$$
  

$$0 < k^{2} < 1 : 排捕捉$$
  

$$k^{2} > 1 : 捕捉$$
  

$$C_{V} \neq 0$$
  

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E = -C_{V}\omega_{r}^{2}$$
  

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{r}^{2} - 2C_{M}\cos^{2}\frac{\theta}{2}$$
  
臨界:  $k^{2} = 1 \Leftrightarrow E = 0$   
15

#### **Comparison with low dimensional model and nonlinear simulation**



### Summary

- Locking of rotation of drift-tearing mode by error field is investigated.
- Analytical decomposition model for rotation frequency of magnetic island is introduced, and importance of contribution of E×B flow is found.
- Detailed analysis shows that coupling of contributions from Maxwell stress and ion viscosity plays an essential role.
- A low dimensional mode is derived to understand the physical mechanism of locking event.
- It is concluded that the locking is caused by rotation trapping by error field and damping by ion viscosity.

Future work:

- O Collisionless case
- O Forced reconnection (initially stable tearing mode).
- O Influence on turbulent transport (RMP).

#### **Collisionless limit**



Appendix

# Locking Threshold



 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r}$ 

Fig.1 Mode locking threshold versus normalized island width w/a. Fig.2 Mode locking threshold versus normalized mode frequency.

★Threshold value depends on magnetic island 'width' and 'rotation frequency'.

[Yu et al., Proc. EPS (2008)] 19

#### Analytic formula of rotation frequency of islands

$\omega_{r} \approx \langle \omega_{*} + \tilde{\omega}_{*} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\omega}_{E \times B} \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle L_{k / /} \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle L_{\eta / /} \rangle$			
Electron diamagnetic flow	E×B flow	Self-gen perturbe	erated ed field
$\omega_* = k_{\theta_{2,1}} \left( v_* + \tilde{v}_* \right)$	$\tilde{\omega}_{E\times B} = k_{\theta_{2,1}} \tilde{v}$	$\tilde{S}_{E \times B}$ $L_{\eta_{\parallel}} = i\eta_{\parallel} \frac{\nabla_{\perp}^2 \tilde{A}_{2,1}}{\tilde{A}}$	Radial Average
$v_* = -\delta \left[ n'_0 + (1 + \alpha_T) T'_0 \right]$ $\tilde{v}_* = -\delta \left[ \tilde{n}'_0 + (1 + \alpha_T) \tilde{T}'_0 \right]$	$L_{k_{\parallel}} = k_{\parallel_{2,1}} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{2,1}}{-}$	$\frac{-\delta\left\{\tilde{n}_{2,1} + (1+\alpha_T)T_{2,1}\right\}}{\tilde{A}_{2,1}}$	$\langle \rangle = \frac{1}{W} \int_{r_{\rm in}}^{r_{\rm out}} dr$

Ion E×B flow generation

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} \rangle &= \left\langle \int^{t} R dt' \right\rangle + \left\langle \int^{t} M dt' \right\rangle + \left\langle \int^{t} V dt' \right\rangle \\ & \mathbf{Reynolds} \\ \mathbf{stress} \\ \mathbf{stress} \\ \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \\ \mathbf{V} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \\ \end{split} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Reynolds} \\ \mathbf{stress} \\ \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \\ \mathbf{S}. \text{Nishimura etal., Phys.Plasmas 15 093506 (2008)} \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ & \mathbf{R} = -\frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M = \frac{k_{\theta_{2,1}}}{r} \int^{r} dr \ r \left[ \tilde{\phi}, \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \tilde{\phi} \right]_{0,0}, \\ & M$$

 $W = r_{\rm out} - r_{\rm in}$