

# Temporal and Spatial Evolution of the H-mode Pedestal in DIII-D\*

R.J. Groebner<sup>1</sup>, T.H. Osborne<sup>1</sup>, A.W. Leonard<sup>1</sup>, and L.W. Owen<sup>2</sup>

Presenting author's e-mail: groebner@fusion.gat.com

<sup>1</sup>*General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608 USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee USA*

The temporal and spatial variation of the H-mode pedestal has been measured in both the edge localized mode (ELM)-free phase and the inter-ELM phase in the DIII-D tokamak. These measurements show that the height and width of the electron temperature  $T_e$  and electron density  $n_e$  profiles increase with time during the initial ELM-free phase of H-mode discharges. These profiles collapse at an ELM but then grow in width and height until the next ELM. Likewise, the height of the ion temperature  $T_i$  profile increases during the ELM-free phase and in between ELMs. However, the  $T_i$  profile often does not have a sharp gradient at the plasma edge. Thus, a "width" for the  $T_i$  pedestal is sometimes difficult to define and so a simple characterization of this parameter is not available. Ion and electron pedestal temperatures tend to saturate late in the ELM-free phase and during ELM cycles with durations of tens of milliseconds; saturation of the electron density is typically not observed. These results are consistent with previous evidence of pedestal expansion during the ELM-free [1] phase and during the inter-ELM phase [2] of discharges in DIII-D. These results are consistent with time-dependent models which predict that the pedestal builds due to the reduction of turbulent transport due to the  $E \times B$  shearing of turbulent eddies [3,4], where  $E_r$  arises to balance the pressure gradient in the pedestal. A feature of such models is that the pedestal width is predicted to grow in time in response to a constant flow of heat into the pedestal. Experimental measurements of the spatio-temporal evolution of turbulence, which would be required to make stricter tests of these models, are not available.

- [1] R.J. Groebner, *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **9**, 2134 (2002).
- [2] R.J. Groebner and T.H. Osborne, Phys. Plasmas **5**, 1800 (1998).
- [3] F.L. Hinton and G.M. Staebler, Phys. Fluids **B5**, 1291 (1993).
- [4] P.H. Diamond, *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **2**, 3685 (1994).

\*Work supported by the US Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698 and DE-AC05-00OR22725.