

## A Comparison of Hybrid Confinement with ELMY H-mode Confinement

ITPA CDBM H-mode Confinement Database Working Group<sup>1)</sup>, presented by K. Thomsen<sup>2)</sup>

E-mail contact of main author: knud.thomsen@efda.org

1) See Ref. [1] plus the Hybrid data providers i.e. ASDEX Upgrade: F. Ryter, G. Sips, C.J. Fuchs, W. Schneider, A. Stäbler, J. Stober and ASDEX Upgrade Team; DIII-D: J.C. DeBoo, T.C. Luce, M.R. Wade and DIII-D Team; JET: D.C. McDonald, E. Joffrin and JET Team; JT-60U: H. Takenaga, T. Takizuka and the JT-60U Team; NSTX: S. Kaye and NSTX Team;

2) EFDA Close Support Unit - Garching, Boltzmannstr. 2, D - 85748 Garching, Germany

Five Tokamaks have for this comparison made global confinement data available from experiments in their Hybrid regimes (improved H-mode confinement regimes). The range in the main plasma parameters for the ASDEX Upgrade [2]; DIII-D Hybrid [3, 4]; JET [5]; JT-60U [6] and NSTX [7] subsets of the Hybrid dataset is summarised in Table 1.

A first comparison of the Hybrid confinement data with the IPB98(y,2) scaling expression [8] shows that the JET data and part of the JT-60U data follow the scaling with an enhancement factor  $H_{98} \sim 1$ . The other part of the JT-60U dataset shows  $H_{98} \sim 1.5$  at intermediate values of loss power. The ASDEX Upgrade, DIII-D, NSTX data clearly deviate from the scaling, because the values of  $H_{98}$  approach 1 at high power but increase to values  $\sim 1.6\text{-}1.7$  at low loss power. The Hybrid definitions and the results of a detailed comparison with the ELMY H-mode confinement data [1] will be discussed in the paper and presented at the meeting.

**Table 1.** Number of observations  $N$  and the mean value  $\pm 1$  std. dev. of plasma current  $I_P$ ; toroidal magnetic field  $B_T$ ; safety factor  $q_{95}$ ; elongation  $\kappa_a$ ; triangularity  $\delta$ ; line average density  $n_{el}$  and thermal loss power  $P_{L,th}$  for each tokamak subset of the Hybrid dataset.

	AUG	DIII-D	JET	JT-60U	NSTX
$N$	295	103	56	12	2
$I_P$ [MA]	$0.98 \pm 0.11$	$1.20 \pm 0.01$	$1.72 \pm 0.42$	$1.21 \pm 0.46$	$0.71 \pm 0.04$
$B_T$ [T]	$2.15 \pm 0.28$	$1.72 \pm 0.04$	$2.09 \pm 0.52$	$2.55 \pm 1.12$	$0.43 \pm 0.02$
$q_{95}$	$4.00 \pm 0.48$	$4.32 \pm 0.17$	$3.86 \pm 0.15$	$3.84 \pm 0.73$	$9.54 \pm 0.03$
$\kappa_a$	$1.59 \pm 0.05$	$1.59 \pm 0.03$	$1.55 \pm 0.04$	$1.37 \pm 0.11$	$2.13 \pm 0.02$
$\delta$	$0.26 \pm 0.08$	$0.54 \pm 0.04$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$	$0.31 \pm 0.10$	$0.72 \pm 0.02$
$n_{el}$ [ $10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ ]	$6.08 \pm 1.51$	$4.41 \pm 0.92$	$3.23 \pm 1.10$	$2.44 \pm 0.80$	$6.87 \pm 0.30$
$P_{L,th}$ [MW]	$7.52 \pm 2.18$	$6.36 \pm 1.39$	$12.37 \pm 3.73$	$11.63 \pm 5.86$	$5.01 \pm 0.58$

[1] D.C. McDonald, et al., Nucl. Fusion **47** (2007) 147.

[2] A. Sips, et al., IAEA 2006, submitted to Nucl. Fusion.

[3] M.R. Wade, et al., Nucl. Fusion **45** (2005) 407.

[4] T.C. Luce, et al., Nucl. Fusion **45** (2005) S86.

[5] E. Joffrin, et al., Nucl. Fusion **45** (2005) 626.

[6] Y. Kamada et al., Nucl. Fusion **41** (2001) 1311.

[7] J. E. Menard et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 095002.

[8] ITER Physics Basis, Nucl. Fusion **39** (1999) 2175.